

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/737,272	12/15/2003	Koenraad F. Van Schuylenbergh	D/A3601 6190	
759	08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
Patent Documentation Center			GILMAN, ALEXANDER	
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S. Rochester, NY 14644			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/737,272	VAN SCHUYLENBERGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander D. Gilman	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/737,272

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 31,32, drawn to method, classified in class 428, subclass 616.

II. Claims1-30, drawn to product made, classified in class 439, subclass 81. The inventions are

distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case it is possible to generate stress gradient not just using a plurality of sublayer but using a single material by altering the fabrication parameters.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 31, 32 were withdrawn from consideration

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 3, 5-11, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) .

With regard to claims 1, 15, 17, 22, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig, 4, 5a, 6a, 8, 23 and Attachment to the current Office Action)) an electrical circuit interconnect (36) comprising:

an anchor portion coupled to a substrate in a substrate plane',

a release portion including a first end coupled to the anchor portion, the

Application/Control Number: 10/737,272

Art Unit: 2833

release portion including at least one in-plane curve (Fig. 5, a, 23), wherein the in-plane curve is in plane approximately parallel to the substrate plane, the release portion further

including a lift line where an uplift portion of the release portion begins to curve out of the plane of the substrate', and,

a spring tip (38) coupled to a second end of the release podion, and wherein a direction of maximal curvature at the spring tip (the vertical plane coplanar with the horizontal direction of the tip's curvature being perpendicular to the release line) lies in a plane approximately perpendicular to the release line.

With regard to claim 2, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the release portion is released from the substrate such that an internal stress gradient (col. 10, lines 13-34) in the uplift portion causes the uplift podion to curve out of the plane of the substrate.

With regard to claim 3, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the plurality

of in plane curves in the uplift portion subtends an angle that totals approximately zero degrees (Fig. 5a)

With regard to claim 5, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the anchor portions

of the electrical interconnect is coupled to an integrated circuit (48).

With regard to claim 6, 23, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the length of the uplift portion is less than 5mm (col. 8, lines 10-13)

With regard to claim 7, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the release portion fudher comprises an unlifted portion (Fig. 10).

With regard to claims 8, 13, 14, 18 Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig. 7) a photoresist and plating procedure

With regard to claims 9-11, 19, 20,21, 25 Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig. 5a)that the release portion includes an aperture, the largest dimension of said aperture exceeding half the median width of the release portion.

With regard to claim 16, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the uplift portion (Fig. 23) includes no curves (while the release portion includes in plane curved section (738).

Art Unit: 2833

Claims 1, 15, 17, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al(US.

With regard to claims 1, 15, 17, 22, Smith et al(US 5,830,782) disclose (Fig, 8,9, 12) an electrical circuit interconnect (36) comprising:

an anchor portion (28) coupled to a substrate in a substrate plane',

a release portion (30) including a first end coupled to the anchor portion, the

release portion including at least one in-plane curve (30), wherein the in-plane curve is in plane approximately parallel to the substrate plane, the release portion further

including a lift line (Fig. 9) where an uplift portion of the release portion begins to curve out of the plane of the substrate', and,

a spring tip (38) coupled to a second end of the release podion, and wherein a direction of maximal curvature at the spring tip lies in a plane approximately perpendicular to the release line (Fig. 9).

Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472). With regard to claim 26, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose (Fig. 5-7) an electrical interconnect comprising:

an anchor portion (16); and,

a stressed metal spring (r. n. 15 and col. 2, lines 63-65) coupled to the anchor portion, the spring including an aperture in

the spring, the entire perimeter of the aperture bounded by spring material the largest dimension of the aperture exceeding 50% of the width of the spring,

a tip (35) coupled to-an end of the stressed metal spring (col. 8, lines 33-36) wherein the tip points (Fig.

3) in a direction that is non-parallel to the substrate plane.

With regard to claim 27, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose that the width of the aperture is at least 0.05 micrometer

With regard to claim 28, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose that the width of the aperture exceeds the average width of the spring.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fork

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to claim 30, Fork (US 2002/0173146) disclose (Fig. 2) an electrical interconnet comprising: an anchor portion (122) coupled to a substrate in a substrate plane:

a stressed metal release portion (125) including a first end coupled to the anchor portion, the release portion including at least one curve; and,

a spring tip coupled to the release portion.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marcus

With regard to claim 30, Marcus (US 6,245,444) disclose (Fig. 2) an electrical interconnet (10)comprising: an anchor portion coupled to a substrate (12) in a substrate plane;

a stressed metal release portion including a first end coupled to the anchor portion, the release portion including at least one curve; and,

a spring tip coupled to the release portion

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beroz et al in view of Grube et al.

Beroz et al explicitly do not disclose that the release portion being formed from one of molybdenum, tungsten, chromium, zirconium or nickel, or their alloys.

Grube et al (US 6,307,161) disclose (col. 2 ,lines 57-61) disclose forming the spring contact using a nickel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the spring contact using a nickel, as taught by Grube et al, to achieve desired mechanical chracteristics of the resilient contact.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,272

Art Unit: 2833

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiStefano et al

With regard to claim 29, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose

a first flexible supports on a first side of the aperture,

a second flexible support on a second side of the aperture,

Applicants have presented no argument which convinces that the geometrical limitations regarding the width of the flexible supports is significant or is anything more than one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for purpose of adding the additional flexibility of the interconnect. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Beroz is insufficient to disclose:

- (1) a stressed metal, in particular ah internal stress gradient in the uplift portion;
- (2) an in- plane curve; and
- (3) a spring tlp being oriented in the direction of maximal curvature of the spring..

However, Beroz et al disclose that internal stress gradient being formed in contact 36 in process of separation the support 30 and wafer 48. (col. 9 –col. 10), since the contact is not returned to initial geometry.

Since a technology of creating of the internal gradient is not claimed, the rejection deems to be correct.

As it shown at the Attachment, Beroz et al disclose in-plane curve.

Regarding the third argument Examiner respectfully submits that, it is not claimed that spring tlp being oriented in the direction of maximal curvature of the spring. It is claimed that a direction of maximal curvature of the release portion at the tip. As it shown at the Attachment, Beroz et al disclose that limitation.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Gilman whose telephone number is 571 272-2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,
Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization
where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

08/29/2005

ALEXANDER GILMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ulex Cilman

Page 7

Aftachment to Final Rejection created 08/29/05



